## Ruxolitinib: criteri di resistenza, indicazioni, gestione della

terapia, effetti collaterali

### Mario Tiribelli Clinica Ematologica di Udine

### ELN definition of clinico-hematologic response in PV

Response grade	Response in PV		
Complete response	<ol> <li>Hematocrit &lt;45% without phlebotomy, and</li> <li>Platelet count ≤400 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L, and</li> <li>WBC count ≤10 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L, and</li> <li>Normal spleen size on imaging, and</li> <li>No disease-related symptoms<sup>a</sup></li> </ol>		
Partial response	<ol> <li>Hematocrit &lt;45% without phlebotomy, or</li> <li>Response in ≥3 of the other criteria</li> </ol>		
No response	Any response that does not satisfy partial response		

<sup>a</sup>Disease-related symptoms include microvascular disturbances, pruritus, and headache WBC, white blood cell count

Barbui T, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011(6);29:761-770.

### Rate of hematologic responses to HU in PV

261 PV patients (median follow-up, 7.2 years) treated with HU for a median of 4.4 years at a single center.

Complete hematological response	24%
Partial hematological response	66%
No response	10%

- Achieving complete or partial response or hematocrit response did not result in better survival or less thrombosis and bleeding.
- Having no response in WBC was associated with higher risk of death (HR, 2.7, p=0.007)
- Lack of response in PLT was associated with higher risk of thrombosis and bleeding

### ELN Criteria for Hydroxyurea Resistance and Intolerance in PV



Barosi G et al. Br J Haematol. 2010;148:961-963.

### Characteristics and Treatment of PV with HU in clinical practice

- A 'real-life' study in a cohort of **1467 patients** treated at 34 private practices and primary care centers in Germany.
- Most patients were of **older age** (66.7 % older than 66 years)
- Molecular status at diagnosis was not evaluated in 23% (diagnosed before 2008).
- Low rates of constitutional symptoms were reported: mostly concentration problems, fatigue and itching.
- **Phlebotomy and HU** were the main measures for Hct control.
- Interferon and JAK inhibitor therapy were used in <10% of patients, respectively.

### Characteristics and Treatment of PV with HU in clinical practice



Jentsch-Ullrich K, et al. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2016;142:2041-2049.

### Management of PV in the "real life" – the experience of Udine

Variables	All patients (n= 105)
Phlebotomies	104 (99%)
Mean phlebotomies / year	4.26/year (n=100)
HU therapy	86 (81.9%)
Mean HU dose	0.75 g/day
CR to HU (according to ELN)	24/86 (28%)
Median FU	11.8 years (0.3 – 30.8)
Thrombosis [art. / ven.]	19 (18%) [12 (11%) / 7 (7%)]
Major hemorrhages (grade 3-4)	6 (5.7%)
Evolution in MF	10 (9.5%)
Deaths	6 (5.7%)

### **Discontinuation of HU in PV – real life data**

Clinical significance of resistance and intolerance to HU in a series of **890 patients with PV** treated in Spain Res./intol. to HU was recorded in **137 patients (15.4%)**:

- need for phlebotomies = 3.3%
- uncontrolled myeloproliferation = 1.6%
- Failure to reduce massive splenomegaly = 0.8%
- development of cytopenia at the lowest dose of HU to achieve a response = 1.7%
- extra-haematological toxicity = 9%

### **HU common side effects**

#### **Cutaneous:**

malleolar ulcers skin de- or hyper-pigmentation nail changes alopecia



Lesions can appear right after the beginning of as a late effect; not associated with low ANC

#### **Gastrointestinal:**

nausea and vomiting diarrhea anorexia glossitis and stomatitis Nausea & Vomiting

Fever



Pneumonitis (interstitial)



# Resistance or intolerance to HU in the real life represent a therapeutic challenge



## Cytoreductive options in PV patients according to ELN and ESMO recommendations

	First-line	First-line therapy		Second-line therapy	
	ELN	ESMO	ELN	ESMO	
Hydroxyurea	<b>+</b> <sup>1</sup>	+	+	+	
Interferon-a	+*	+*	+*	+*	
Busulphan	<b>+</b> <sup>2</sup>		<b>+</b> <sup>3</sup>	+	
Pipobroman, <sup>32</sup> P			<b>+</b> <sup>4</sup>		
Anagrelide	_	_	_	_	
Ruxolitinib				<b>+</b> 5	

<sup>1</sup> use with caution in patiens <40 years

<sup>2</sup> >70 years

<sup>3</sup> for patients with short life expectancy

<sup>4</sup> not frequently used

<sup>5</sup> for resistant/refractory to hydroxycarbamide

\* off-label indication in Europe

Barbui *et al*, J Clin Oncol 2011;29:761. Vannucchi AM *et al*, Ann Oncol 2015;26

### **Treatment Strategy for PV: 2018 ELN recommendations**



<sup>b</sup> Preferred in young patients who need long-term treatment.

٠

۲

### **RESPONSE & RESPONSE-2 study design**



**Primary composite endpoint**: haematocrit control (phlebotomy independence from week 8 to 32, with  $\leq 1$  phlebotomy post randomization) in the absence of phlebotomy and 35% reduction in spleen volume at week 32 (this latter absent in Response 2)

**Secondary endpoints**: complete haematological remission at week 32 (absence of phlebotomy requirement, PLT count  $\leq 400 \times 10^9$ /L, and WBC count  $\leq 10 \times 10^9$ /L); % of patients who maintain primary endpoint response for  $\geq 48$  weeks; Symptom improvement (MPN-SAF diary) and quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30; PGIC).

Vannucchi et al, N Engl J Med. 2015 Jan 29;372(5):426-35; Passamonti et al, Lancet Oncol. 2016 Dec 1. pii: S1470-2045(16)30558-7.

### RESPONSE study – week 32 analysis Hct control and spleen volume reduction



- 222 phlebotomy-dependent PV patients **with splenomegaly**, were randomized in a 1:1 ratio, to receive ruxolitinib (110 pts) or standard therapy (112 pts).
- Patients randomized to RUX achieved higher rates of Hct control and spleen reductions

### RESPONSE 2 study – week 28 analysis Hct control



### Significantly more patients randomized to ruxolitinib achieved Hct control

without phlebotomy compared with those randomized to BAT

Passamonti F, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(1):88-99

### **Ruxolitinib improves WBC count**



Patients with the highest WBC counts at baseline had the largest reductions,

with mean values in the ruxolitinib arm of approximately  $15 \times 109/L$  or lower

from week 8 onward (BAT arm remained > 25 × 109/L)

Harrison EHA 2015 EP1353;

### **Ruxolitinib improves PLT count**



In comparison with BAT-treated patients, a higher proportion of ruxolitinib-treated patients had a PLT count  $\leq 400 \times 109$ /L at week 32 (60.9% vs 41.1%); a higher proportion of patients randomized to ruxolitinib had a PLT count  $\leq 600 \times 109$ /L at week 32 (81.8% vs 64.3%) Patients with the highest PLT counts at baseline had the largest reductions, with mean values in the ruxolitinib arm of  $< 500 \times 109$ /L from week 20 onward

### RESPONSE study – week 32 analysis Symptoms response

Percentage of patients with a ≥50% improvement in MPN-SAF symptom score at week 32<sup>a</sup>



### RESPONSE 2 study – week 28 analysis Symptoms response



#### Patients achieving complete resolution of PV-related symptoms

#### and ≥50% reduction in MPN-SAF TSS

Passamonti F, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(1):88-99

### The **RELIEF** study





Mesa et al Br J Haematol. 2017 Jan;176(1):76-85

PV patients controlled on stable dose of HC but reported symptoms.

Primary endpoint, ≥50% improvement in total symptom score cytokine symptom cluster (TSS-C; sum of tiredness, itching, muscle aches, night sweats, and sweats while awake) at Week 16

Achieved by 43.4% rux vs. 29.6% HC ((odds ratio, 1.82; 95% confidence interval, 0.82-4.04; P = 0.139)

Ruxolitinib trend to improved symptoms versus HC BUT unexpectedly symptom improvement with HC

### **Ruxolitinib improves quality of life**



Vannucchi et al, N Engl J Med. 2015 Jan 29;372(5):426-35

### **5-year RESPONSE trial: adverse events**

	208-Week (4-Year) Analysis				
	Ruxolitinib n = 110 Exposure, Patient-Years = 409		Crossover n = 98 Exposure, Patient-Years = 310		
Rate per 100 Patient-Years of	All	Grade	All	Grade	
Exposure	Grades	3 or 4	Grades	3 Or 4	
Hematologic adverse events					
Anemia	9.3	1.0	9.4	0.6	
Thrombocytopenia	4.6	1.0	1.3	0.3	
Non-hematologic adverse events					
All infections	19.6	3.7	19.7	6.5	
Herpes zoster infection	4.9	0.5	4.2	0.6	
Pruritus	7.3	0.5	5.8	0	
Diarrhea	7.1	0.2	3.2	0	
Headache	6.1	0.5	5.5	0	
Fatigue	5.1	0.2	4.2	0	
Increased weight	5.6	0.7	4.2	0.3	
Arthralgia	5.9	0.2	3.2	0.3	
Muscle spasms	5.4	0.2	3.2	0	
Dizziness	4.2	0.0	6.1	0	

Kiladjian et al, ASH 2018

### 5-years RESPONSE trial: other adverse events of interest

	208-Week (4-Year) Analysis				
n (Rate per 100 Patient-Years of	Ruxolitinib n = 110 Exposure, Patient-Years =		Crossover n = 98 Exposure, Patient-Years =		
Exposure)	40	)9	310		
Prior history of Nonmelanoma	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Skin Cancer					
Total events	13 (3.6)	8 (18.6)	6 (2.1)	2 (9.5)	
Basal cell carcinoma	10 (2.7)	7 (16.3)	4 (1.4)	1 (4.7)	
Squamous cell carcinoma of skin	4 (1.1)	4 (9.3)	3 (1.0)	0	
Bowen's disease	1 (0.3)	1 (2.3)	0	0	
Carcinoma in situ of skin	0	2 (4.7)	0	0	
Metastatic squamous cell carcinoma	0	2 (4.7)	0	0	
Keratoacanthoma	1 (0.3)	0	0	0	
Squamous cell carcinoma*	2 (0.5)	3 (7.0)	2 (0.7)	2 (9.5)	

Kiladjian et al, ASH 2018

### 5-years RESPONSE trial: thromboembolic adverse events

	208-Week (4-Year) Analysis			
	Ruxolitinib n = 110		Crossover n = 98	
	Exposure, Patient-Years =		Exposure, Patient-Years =	
	409		310	
n (Rate per 100 Patient-Years of	All Grade		All	Grade
Exposure)	Grades	3 or 4	Grades	3 or 4
All thromboembolic events <sup>a</sup>	5 (1.2)	3 (0.7)	9 (2.9)	5 (1.6)
Cerebral infarction	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0	0
Ischemic stroke	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)
Transient ischemic attack	0	0	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)
Portal vein thrombosis	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0	0
Pulmonary embolism	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0	0
Retinal vascular thromb.	1 (0.2)	0	0	0
Myocardial infarction	0	0	2 (0.6)	1 (0.3)
Deep vein thrombosis	0	0	1 (0.3)	0
Thrombophlebitis	0	0	1 (0.3)	0
Thrombosis	0	0	1 (0.3)	0
Bone infarction	0	0	1 (0.3)	0
Coronary artery occl.	0	0	1 (0.3)	0
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	0	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)

### **RESPONSE trials: limitations**



### **MAJIC Study**

- MAJIC PV is a UK randomised phase II study
- 190 PV patients resistant/intolerant to HU.
- The primary objective is to evaluate the activity (CHR at 1 year) of RUX compared to BAT (mainly HU and IFN)
- The secondary objective is to evaluate the molecular response by JAK2V617F allele burden quantification

Speed of attaining response

Duration of overall response

Transformation free survival



Curto-Garcia N. Jun 16, 2019; 267361; S1607

### MAJIC-PV: Ruxo vs HU vs IFN Haematological & Molecular Responses



Curto-Garcia N. Jun 16, 2019; 267361; S1607

### Ruxolitinib in PV – overview (1)

#### **Strenght of evidence**

- Steady control of Hct at target level
- Control of leukocytosis
- Anti-inflammatory effect
- Reduction of JAK2V617F VAF
- Reduction of thrombotic events (impact

on JAK2-mutated endothelium)



### Ruxolitinib in PV – overview (2)

- Rates (per 100 pt-yr) of thromboembolic events
  - 1.2 in RUX
  - 2.7 in crossover
  - 8.2 in BAT
- Exposure-adjusted rates of second malignancies
  - 7.0 in RUX; NMSC (5.1)
  - 4.5 in crossover; NMSC (2.7)
  - 4.1 in BAT; NMSC (2.7)
- Rates (per 100 pt-yr) of transformation to MF and AML
  - 2.1 and 0.2 in the RUX
  - 1.8 and 0.6 in crossover
  - 1.4 and 0.0 in BAT

### Ruxolitinib – prescrivibilità AIFA

#### 4.1 Indicazioni terapeutiche

#### Mielofibrosi (MF)

Jakavi è indicato per il trattamento della splenomegalia o dei sintomi correlati alla malattia in pazienti adulti con mielofibrosi primaria (nota anche come mielofibrosi idiopatica cronica), mielofibrosi post policitemia vera o mielofibrosi post trombocitemia essenziale.

Policitemia vera (PV)

Jakavi è indicato per il trattamento di pazienti adulti con policitemia vera che sono resistenti o intolleranti a idrossiurea.

#### 4.2 Posologia e modo di somministrazione

Il trattamento con Jakavi deve essere iniziato solo da un medico esperto nella somministrazione di medicinali antitumorali.

Prima di iniziare la terapia con Jakavi si deve effettuare una conta ematica completa, inclusa una conta differenziale dei globuli bianchi.

Monitorare ogni 2-4 settimane la conta ematica completa, inclusa la conta differenziale dei globuli bianchi, fino alla stabilizzazione delle dosi di Jakavi, e in seguito come clinicamente indicato (vedere paragrafo 4.4).

#### Posologia

#### <u>Dose iniziale</u>

La dose iniziale raccomandata di ruxolitinib nella MF è di 15 mg due volte al giorno per i pazienti con una conta piastrinica tra 100.000/mm<sup>3</sup> e 200.000/mm<sup>3</sup> e di 20 mg due volte al giorno per i pazienti con una conta piastrinica >200.000/mm<sup>3</sup>. La dose iniziale raccomandata di ruxolitinib nella PV è di 10 mg per via orale due volte al giorno.

### **Dosage and administration of Ruxolitinib in PV**

- Recommended starting dose: 10 mg BID
- Maximum dose: 25 mg BID
- Before therapy: screening as in MF patients
  - > CBC
  - > HBV, HCV, HIV serology
  - Investigate latent TBC
  - Educate the patient to report symproms that may be related to zoster reactivations
  - Evaluate renal/liver function
  - Spleen size by palpation & echography
  - Symptoms by MPN10-TSS



## THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION AND PLEASE ASK **BUT NOT TOO MUCH** mario.tiribelli@uniud.it